#### STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA

At a Regular Term the Supreme Court of Appeals, continued and held at Charleston, Kanawha County, on May 9, 2018, the following order was made and entered:

Office of Disciplinary Counsel, Petitioner

vs.) No. 18-0187

Richard T. Busch, a suspended member of The West Virginia State Bar, Respondent



#### ORDER

On March 5, 2018, the petitioner, Office of Disciplinary Counsel, by Rachael L. Fletcher Cipoletti, Chief Lawyer Disciplinary Counsel, filed a petition seeking annulment of the law license in the State of West Virginia of the respondent, Richard T. Busch, pursuant to Rule 3.18, Rules of Lawyer Disciplinary Procedure.

Upon consideration and review of the petition seeking annulment, the Court is of the opinion to and does hereby grant the petition. It is therefore ordered that the license to practice law in the State of West Virginia of the respondent, Richard T. Busch, shall be, and it hereby is, annulled.

A True Copy

Attest: /s/ Edythe Nash Gaiser Clerk of Court



### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA

#### OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL,

Petitioner,

RICHARD T. BUSCH, a suspended member

of the West Virginia State Bar,

v.

Respondent.

### PETITION SEEKING ANNULMENT OF LAW LICENSE PURSUANT TO RULE 3.18 OF THE RULES OF LAWYER DISCIPLINARY PROCEDURE

Rachael L. Fletcher Cipoletti
[Bar No. 8806]
Chief Lawyer Disciplinary Counsel
Office of Disciplinary Counsel
City Center East, Suite 1200C
4700 MacCorkle Avenue, S.E.
Charleston, West Virginia 25304
rfcipoletti@wvodc.org
(304) 558-7999
(304) 558-4015 – facsimile

No. 18-\_\_\_\_

NOW COMES the Office of Disciplinary Counsel by Rachael L. Fletcher Cipoletti, its Chief Counsel, and reports to this Court pursuant to Rule 3.18 of the Rules of Lawyer Disciplinary Procedure and Rule 35(a)(4) of the Rules of Appellate Procedure that Richard T. Busch, a suspended lawyer, (hereinafter "Respondent") has been convicted of a crime that reflects adversely on his honesty, trustworthiness, and fitness as a lawyer and is in direct violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct. In support of this petition, the Office of Disciplinary Counsel states as follows:

#### **FACTS**

- 1. Respondent was admitted to the West Virginia State Bar on April 23, 2002, and although Respondent's license to practice law in West Virginia was suspended by the Supreme Court of Appeals in 2014, he remains subject to the lawyer disciplinary jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Appeals of West Virginia and the Lawyer Disciplinary Board.
- 2. On or about November 9, 2017, law enforcement was summoned to an apartment complex in Elkins, West Virginia, regarding allegations of a domestic assault.
- 3. When police arrived, the victim advised that Respondent "shoved her into a vase" causing minor injuries. The criminal complaint filed in the Magistrate Court of Randolph County states that both parties were intoxicated and that Respondent denied the physical altercation.

- 4. The victim provided a written statement regarding the events of November 9, 2017, and also stated that the following day, Respondent hit the windshield of her car with his hand and attempted to throw a rock at the vehicle while she and her young son were inside. The victim obtained a domestic violence protective order the same day.

  [Attachment A -Criminal complaint 17-M42M-01999].
- 5. On or about November 10, 2017, Respondent was served with the domestic violence protective order. Several hours later, the victim advised police that she had received multiple abusive voice mails from Respondent after he was served and he referenced the protective order in the telephone messages. [Attachment B Criminal complaint 17-M42M-02030].
- 6. Respondent was arraigned on criminal charges on or about November 14, 2017. A condition of his bond was that he was to have no direct, indirect physical or verbal contact with the victim.
- 7. On or about November 15, 2017, police were again summoned to the victim's residence wherein she advised that Respondent was sitting in his parked car in the parking lot of her apartment building, in violation of the conditions of his bond.

  [Attachment C Criminal complaint 17-M42M-2047].
- 8. On or about November 16, 2017, a Motion to Revoke Bond was filed by the Assistant Prosecutor of Randolph County, West Virginia. [Attachment D]

- 9. Respondent was subsequently arrested, revoked and incarcerated for approximately two months.
- 10. On or about February 13, 2018, Respondent pleaded guilty of One Count of Domestic Assault and one count of Violation of a Protective Order. In exchange, One Count of Domestic Battery and One Count of Violation of a Protective Order were dismissed.
- 11. On the first charge, Respondent was sentenced to six months in jail, credit for time served, suspension of the remainder of the sentence with one year of probation and on the second charge he was sentenced to one year in jail, suspended for one year of probation. Respondent was further ordered to have no contact with the victim in the matter and to seek out-patient drug/alcohol rehabilitation and to provide documentation of the same in quarterly reports during the probation. [Attachment E].
- 13. Rule 3.18(c) of the Rules of Lawyer Disciplinary Procedure provides that "[a] plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction after a plea of nolo contendere shall be deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this rule."
- 14. Rule 3.18(d) of the Rules of Lawyer Disciplinary Procedure provides that "[a] lawyer shall be deemed to have been convicted within the meaning of this rule upon the entry of the order or judgment of conviction and such lawyer's license may be suspended or annulled thereupon notwithstanding the pendency of an appeal from such conviction."

- 15. Respondent has been convicted of a crime within the meaning of Rule 3.18 of the Rules of Lawyer Disciplinary Procedure. "Where there has been a final criminal conviction, proof on the record of such conviction satisfies the Committee on Legal Ethics' burden of proving an ethical violation arising from such conviction." Syllabus Pt 2, Committee on Legal Ethics v. Six, 181 W.Va. 52, 380 S.E.2d 219 (1989).
- 16. Because Respondent pleaded guilty and was convicted of criminal acts he has violated Rule 8.4(b), Rule 8.4(c) and Rule 8.4(d) of the Rules of Professional Conduct which state in pertinent part:

#### Rule 8.4. Misconduct.

It is professional misconduct for a lawyer to:

- (b) commit a criminal act that reflects adversely on the lawyer's honesty, trustworthiness or fitness as a lawyer in other respects;
- (c) engage in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit or misrepresentation;
- (d) engage in conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice.

WHEREFORE, after affording the right of Respondent to file a written request with the Chairperson of the Hearing Panel of the Lawyer Disciplinary Board for a mitigation hearing within thirty (30) days of the date of the filing of this Petition pursuant to Rule 3.18(e) of the Rules of Lawyer Disciplinary Procedure, the Office of Disciplinary Counsel requests that this Honorable Court issue an Order which annuls Respondent's law license based on Rule 3.18 of the Rules of Lawyer Disciplinary Procedure and legal precedent of this Court.

# Respectfully submitted, Office of Disciplinary Counsel

Rachael L. Fletcher Cipoletti [Bar No.8806]

Chief Lawyer Disciplinary Counsel

Office of Disciplinary Counsel

City Center East, Suite 1200C

4700 MacCorkle Avenue SE

Charleston, West Virginia 25304

(304) 558-7999

(304) 558-4015 facsimile

rfcipoletti@wvodc.org

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that I, Rachael L. Fletcher Cipoletti, Chief Lawyer Disciplinary Counsel for the Office of Disciplinary Counsel, have this day, the 2<sup>nd</sup> day of March, 2018, served a true copy of the foregoing "PETITION SEEKING ANNULMENT OF LAW LICENSE PURSUANT TO RULE 3.18 OF THE RULES OF LAWYER DISCIPLINARY PROCEDURE" upon Respondent Richard T. Busch, Esquire, by mailing the same, United States Mail with sufficient postage, to the following address:

Richard T. Busch 134 Buffalo Street Elkins, WV 26241

And to the Chair of the Hearing Panel of the Lawyer Disciplinary Board:

Steven K. Nord, Esquire Post Office Box 2868 Huntington, WV 25728

Rachael L. Fletcher Cipoletti

### Attachment A

- - V

IN THE MAGISTRATE COURT OF Randolph	COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA
STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA	
V.	,
Richard Tulbou Busch Defendant	Case No. <u>17-M42M-01999</u>
310 Beverlý Pike Apt. 2 Elkins, WV 26241	
Address	
09/09/1969 E433187	
Date of Birth Driver's License No.	
	Misdemeanor 🖂 Misdemeanor
CD1841M1 CCD4IDI	Felony
CRIMINAL COMPL	
), the undersigned complainant, upon my oath or affirmation, sta	
knowledge and belief. On or about November 9th 2017 in Rante	
Virginia, in violation of W.Va. Code (cite specific section, subsection, and/o Domestic Battery Domestic Assault Richard Tulbote Puscil, of	r subdivision as applicable) 61-2-28(a) 61-2-28(b)
See attached page #2.	he defendant did (sime signifory language of offense)
and the feet of the second sec	
I further state that this complaint is based on the following facts. See at	
And the same southeath is pased on the following lacts. The til	achea page #3
The defendant is/has:	
The victim's spouse or ex-spouse \times Uving with the victim or had to	
A ANNUAL TOOL OF LAND AND CLASS	ied as a spouse, parent of guardlan to the violim
A child in tom/nor with the victim	•
Continued on attached shoot? X yes no co Completent (who appears before magistrate):	On this complaint, sworn or affirmed before me and
Deputy A.B. Beverly	signed this date by complainant in my presence; the item(s) checked below apply:
Name	nomes oncoxed sellow apprys
32 Randolph Ave. Stitle 201	Probable cause found
Address 304-636-2111	Bummons issued
Telophone	Warrant issued
Randolph County Shorilfs Office Deputy	☐ Warrantless arrest☐ No probable cause found
Office or title, if any	In product cause (outed
acros Bewell - 11-10-17	Manintrates Consessus
Complainant Signature	Magistrate Signature
Combramant 21 Rustate	Populari Return
	Dato Rejuin
	File
Mag. Cl. Crim. Rules: 3A Revised 8/2008	Complainant Prosecutor

CASENO. 17-M42M-01999

(Crining Campia(nt.Co)(),haca)
§61-2-28. Domestic violenco
(a) Domestic buttery Any person who unlawfully and intentionally makes physical contact force capable of enusing physical
pain or injury to his or her family or household member or unlawfully and intentionally causes physical harm to his or her family
or household member, is guilty of a misdemeanor
(b) Domestle assault Any person who unlawfully attempts to use force capable of causing physical pain or injury against his or
her family or household member or unlivefully commits an act that places his or her family or household member to reasonable
appreheusion of immediately suffering physical pain or injury, is guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction thereof, shall be
confined in fail for not more than six months, or fined not more than \$100, or both fined and confined.
ann Neuro 11-10-2017
Return

CASE NO. 17-M42M-01999

(Criminal Complaint Compact)

On Thursday November 9th, 2017, 1 Deputy A.B. Beverly was dispached along with SGT. B.A. Talkington, Cpl. D.D.
Pennington, and Deputy E.B Care to 310 Beverly Pike Apt. 2 in reference to a domestic altereation. Upon our arrival, we spoke
with the resident of the home later identified as Amber Click. Amber click advised that prior to calling 911, her boy(riend
Richard Busch had shoved her into a vase resulting in a scrape on her slith, and a swollon wrist which was documented by
photographs. At this time Mr. Bush exited the house to speak with us. Buth Amber Click and Mr. Busch stated that they had been
drinking, and both parties admitted to being intoxicated. Mr. Bush denied that there was any physical altereation, and stated that
the argument was only verbal. Amber Click agreed to spend the remainder of the night at her next door neighbors residence. We
suggested that both purities refinin from making contact with each other for the remainder of the night. Furthermore, we advised
Amber Click to contact us the following day to provide us with a written statement. Amber Click was also informed that she had
the option to pursue a protective order.
~~ <del></del>
At approximately 1052 hours on November 10th Lt. R.K. Swisher obtained a written statement from Amber Click in
reference to the events that took place on November 9th, 2017. This statement also includes an event that took place on November
10th, 2017 where Amber Click states that Me. Busch slammed his hand on her ear windshield, and attempted to throw a rock at
her car while her son was in the backseat. Also on Friday November 10th, 2017 Amber Click obtained a protective order against
Mr. Busch, which was served to Richard Busch on this same day at approximately 1300 frours by the Randolph County Sheriffs
Office. All of these events took place in Randolph County, WV.
Deputy A.B. Beverly Leverth Believe 11-12-17
Reluth

# Attachment B

IN THE MAGISTRATE COURT OF	Raindolph	COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA
STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA		
v.		107 20 10 20 20 20 20
Richard Talbott Busch		Case No. <u>FI-M42M - 02030</u>
Defendant 310 Bevorly Pike Apt. 2 Elkins, WV 26241		
Address	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del></del>
09/09/1969 6433187		
Dole of Birth	No.	
		⊠ Misdemconor □ Felony
<u>CRIM</u>	<u>INAL COMPLAIN</u>	<u>) T</u> .
I, the undersigned complainant, upon my only knowledge and belief. On or about November 10th 2017	or affirmation, state th	ne following is true and correct to the best of my County West
Virginia, in violation of W.Vo. Code feite specific section, s	ubsection, and/or su	bdivision as applicable) 848-27-903
		ofendant did (state statutory language of offense)
Misdementar offenses for violation of protective order.		
(a) A person is guilty of a misdemeanor if the person know	vingly and willfully vi	plates:
(1) A provision of an emergency or final protective order is		
(A) to be seen of our of the business and the control of the contr		
t further state that this complaint is based on the follow	Ing facts: Sec attachi	ed slicet page #2
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del></del>
<del></del>		
The defendant is/nas:		
the state of the s	with the victim or had lived	
	on who may be classilied a of the above connections to	ș a spouse, parent or guardlan to the victim
Continued on attached sheet?   ✓ yes   n o		in this complaint, sword of affirmed before me and
Complainant (who appears before magistrate):		igned this date by complainant in my presence, the
A.B Beverly		icin(s) checked below apply:
Name		
32 Randolph Ave. Suite 201 Address	<del></del>	Probable cause found
304-636-2111	Ì	Summons issued Wittent issued
Teleg	Thone	Warrantless arrest
Deputy		No probable huse found
Office or title, if any	$ \emptyset $	Horac M lighter
assert 11-14-201	, N	lagistrate Signature
Complainant Signature		11/18/14/
	i T	Date
		Defendant File
Mag. Ct. Crlm. Rules 3A Revised 8/2008	.	Čomplainant Proseculor

HIV. LOCATO INVINITION HIV. 1909

CASE NO. <u>[1-MY2M - 090</u>30

1. 21/21

(Crimmal Complaint Continued	'n
------------------------------	----

On Friday November 10th, 2017 at approximately 1600 hours, I Deputy A.B. Bevorly learned that an emergency protective
onder requested by petitioner Amber Click, had been served to the respondent Richard Busch at approximately 1300 hours on
November 10th, 2017. At approximately 1728 hours on this same day, Ambor Click arrived at the Randolph County Sheriffs
office, and advised that Mr. Busch had made several telephone calls, and left multiple voice mails attempting to contact her after
the protective order was served and in effect. Amber Click provided us with a written statement advising that she had received
(7) phone calls from Mr. Busch after she had obtained the protective order. Amber Click also advised that some of the voice mails
left by Mr. Busch were verbally abusive in nature, and provided us with the recordings of (5) voice mails that were left by Mr.
Busch. Amber Click began receiving voice matts at approximately 1350 hours, and continued to receive additional voice mails at
approximately 1359, 1406, and 1417 hours on November 10th, 2017. Mr. Busch references the protective order repeatedly
throughout the messages, and states that it makes him want to be aggressive with her (Amber Click). Mr. Busch also uses phrases
like "fack you, you cunt", and "why won't you maswer your phone bitch" throughout the messages. All of these events took place
In Raddolph County, WV DEPUTY A.B. BEVERLY aleson Beverly 11-14-2017
·

Relurn
Defendant
Filé
Complainant .
Prosecutor

## Attachment C

IVICI. Z. ZVIO 7.4ZMIVI

### IN THE MAGISTRATE COURT OF RANDOLPH COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA

State of West Vir	ginia		Case No. 17-M	442M-02047
ν.				
RICHARD T. BU	=	xxx-xx		09/09/1969
Defeudant (Full N	lame)	Social Sec	urity Number	Date of Birth
UNKNOWN				
Address		Driver's L	cense / Identific	ation Number
UNKNOWN				
City, State & Zip	Code	Phone Nu	nber(s)	
Charge(s): VIOLA	ATION OF DOMESTIC VIC	LENCE ORDER		
	ARREST WA	RRANT / CAPIAS RECA	LL ORDER	
	Mag. Ct. Criminai i	Procedure Rule 4(d)(4), W. V	o. Code § 50-5-	15
	ARI	EST WARRANT RECAI	LIL	
November, 2 because the d  Upon request	017, and all copies thereof be efendant voluntarily appeare of the prosecuting attorney, is declared null and void, a	or the arrest of RICHARD T. It is returned forthwith to the issued in court to answer to the charter is hereby ORDERED that the issued on the und is hereby cancelled. It is	ning magistrate of the warrant for the day of further ORDER	e arrest of  ED that the unexecuted
arrest Warrant	and all copies thereof be re	numed forthwith to the issuing	magistrate com	Talong with this order.
		CAPIAS RECALL		
It is hereby	ORDERED that the capies :	for the arrest of		
issued on the	day of	. 20	, is declare	d null and void, and is
licreby cancelled.	It is fluther ORDERED that court along with this order.	the unexecuted capias and all	copies thereof	be returned forthwith to the
Date		Magistrate		
Copies Sent To			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

MCRROWT Rev. 06/2012 (previously SCA-M302NP) Arrest Warraut/Capitas Recall Order T- WVSCA Approved: 06/06/2012; Docket Code(s): MMOWR

### Attachment D

### IN THE MAGISTRATE COURT OF RANDOLPH COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA

### STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA Plaintiff,

٧8.

CASE NO.: 17-M42M-01999

RICHARD TALBOTT BUSCH, Defendant.

//CXChungt,	
MOTION TO REVOKE BOND  The State of West Virginia	
in the above-styled case hereby moves or requests that this court:	
Oremove this case to circuit court (if civil)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Ocontinue this case from the currently scheduled date of	
This motion is based upon the following grounds:	
That the Defendant was charged with the instant offenses of De	
Domestic Assault stemming from an incident that occurred on or about The Defendant was also charged with yielation of a Domestic Violence	
from an incident that occurred on or about November 10, 2017 with t	
resulting in Case No. 17-M42M-02030.	
The Defendant was arraigned on November 14, 2017, wherein	condition of his
bond was to have NO DIRECT OR INDIRECT PHYSICAL OR VER	
with the Victim, (See Attached "Exhibit A", attached hereto and inco	
reference.) The Defendant was then subsequently arrested for a secon	
Domestic Violence Protective Order alleged to have occurred on or ab	- ·
2017 with the same Victim less than 24 hours after being arraigned an	a being placed on
bond.	
The Defendant has failed to comply with the terms and condition	ons of his bond, and
committed additional criminal offenses with the same victim resulting	
charges. For all the foregoing reasons, the State hereby moves for the	Defendant's bond to
be revoked and this matter be set for hearing.	· · · ·
10 0 -	
Leekta J. Leling	11-16-17
Signature of party filing motion attorney for the party/prosecutor	Date

NOTICE TO PARTY FILING MOTION: One copy of this motion must be filed with the court and one copy must be mailed or delivered by hand to the attorneys for all other parties or to the parties themselves if they are not represented by attorneys.

### Attachment E

### IN THE MAGISTRATE COURT OF RANDOLPH COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA

STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA Plaintiff,

MIGHT OF EVILVE 2 (TUDIN

v.
Richard Talbott Busch
Defendant.

CASE NO. 17-1942 M -01999 17-1942 M -02047

דטנו יטיו

1. 1/21

PLEA AGREEWENT
On this Date, February 13, 2018
The Defendant agrees to plead GUILTY) NOLO CONTENDRE to:
1) Dornestic Assault (17-m42m-01999)
2) Violation of Protective Order (17-m42m-02047)
3.)
4.)
The State agrees to DISMISS / REDUCE:
1) Violation of Protective Order (17-m42m-02030).
2) Domestic Battery (17-m42m-01999)
The State agrees the appropriate SENTENCE for each guilty plea is as follows:
1) le months in joul, Credit for time served, suspend
remainder for 1 year probation - No Contact with
Victim, direct or indurect; unless approved by Court
<u>Urder</u>
2) I year in youl suspended for I year probation
No Contact with Victim, direct or indirect, unless approved by Cons
3) Defendant agrees to Seek a patient drug Inland
Probable to tion Services and provide do cumentation to Court quarterly away probabon until firsted Order of Court.  Restitution? Yes/No \$ TO:
4) Defendant's firearm(s) to be transferred to a 3rd party
2001 Likta L. Folin
DEPENDANT PROSECUTING ATTORNEY
COUNSEL POIX DEFENDANT MAGISTRATE
COUNSEL FOWDEFENDANT MAGISTRATE